SIG/Business meeting
Wednesday, Nov. 14, 2012, 9:30-10:30

Present (virtually): Grant Campbell, Rebecca Green, Jane Greenberg, Kathryn LaBarre, Joe Tennis, and Emma Tonkin

AGENDA
1. Introductions <note who-is-on the call>
2. Report of general membership
3. Ideas for outreach, recruitment, etc.
4. SIG/CR workshop for 2013, ideas, themes, etc.
5. Panel ideas, and co-sponsorship of panels, etc. with other SIGs, etc.
6. Liaisons (informal, or...?)
7. Annual/bi-annual meeting, officer length-of-time.
8. Do we need to get other new officers lined up to 2013/2014
9. SIG/CR proceedings, a note about the work this year.

MINUTES

1. Introductions <note who-is-on the call>
The meeting started closer to 9:45, due to technology challenges. Members present are listed above. Jane made comments on everyone being safe, given storm Sandy.

2. Report of general membership
Jane reported 171 members, shared via Dick Hill. Dick noted that the number could increase, given late renewals. Dick shared a spreadsheet with Jane, which she will forward to SIG/CR officers.

Brief discussion followed regarding the health of SIG/CR, noting is the number substantial enough.

3. Ideas for outreach, recruitment, etc.
Agenda item 2 dovetailed with the topic of outreach and recruitment.
- Kathryn reported ASIST concern that SIG/CR is not as visible as other SIGs and although the membership number is healthy, the presence and outreach during the year is not that noticeable. She noted SIG/CR needs a better paper trail.

Discussion moved jumped ahead to agenda item 4, SIG/CR workshop, and agenda item 7, Annual/bi-annual meeting, given the nature of the topic, the health of SIG/CR, opportunity overload.
- Rebecca raised the point about ISKO and NASKO being bi-annual and alternative events.
- Jane and Rebecca raised point about SIG/CR support at these venues.
- Emma, Grant, indicated that SIG/CR is not likely not the best time to “not” consider a SIG/CR workshop, given ASIST perception of SIG/CR not being that active and visible beyond the ASIST annual conference. They also noted the “re-establish” challenge SIG/CR may have if we didn’t have the workshop in 2013.
- Jane, Emma, Kathryn all emphasized Montreal as an international city, and the capacity to draw international scholars to SIG/CR and ASIST in general.
– Jane also mentioned ASIST’s name change and the impact on drawing a more international
  group.
– Joe, mentioned his connections to South America, Brazil, and how we can reach this community
  for SIG/CR 2013

Discussion turns back to ideas that could be pursued beyond the workshop, such as virtual events. Jane
noted research forums taking place within ALCTS.
– Kathryn prompted the group to think more creatively.
– Emma noted Dublin Core connections, specifically the tagging community, and the idea for
  collaborative activity.

4. SIG/CR workshop for 2013, ideas, themes, etc.
There was a general consensus to move forward with plans for a workshop for SIG/CR 2013.
– Kathryn confirmed SIG/CR protocol, that chair elect (Grant Campbell) would be workshop chair.
– Grant noted he had been gathering notes, and would synthesize ideas from the call and share
  with everyone.

5. Panel ideas, and co-sponsorship of panels, etc. with other SIGs, etc.
Discussion in this area was limited. The ASIST call-for-participation is out.
(Rebecca will follow-up with SIG/CR call-for-participation.)

6. Liaisons (informal, or...?)
Not discussed, although there was some general discussion about NKOS and the relationship between
NKOS, Dublin Core, and SIG/CR, and how we share interests. CENDI was also mentioned
– Joe, Jane shared NKOS experience
– Rebecca, Kathryn, Joe, also shared experience and insight on NASKO and the information ethics
  conference at UW-M.
– Rebecca, Jane, also noted CENDI/NKOS event.

Upshot, it was agreed to keep our eyes attuned to these communities. A number of SIG/CR officers and
general community members are have been participants in these forums.

Discussion also touched on extending SIG/CR reach to re-engage the community of “CR” folks who are
on-the-front line and have, potentially, felt isolated from SIG/CR. The target groups were folks working
in taxonomy/metadata/vocabulary management.

7. Annual/bi-annual meeting, officer length-of-time
– Kathryn explained that change to a two-yr. term for officers would not require a change in
  Bylaws, but just the operational rules. There was a consensus that we might pursue the two-yr.
  term with either the current officers, or the next slate of officers.
– None of the current officers on the call objected to extending their service for another year.
– Jane raised the point about needing some sort of modification for the SIG/CR chair in 2014,
  unless Grant would like to do it two-times.
8. Do we need to get other new officers lined up to 2013/2014
   - Jane raised the question about officer elections.
   - Kathryn confirmed that this was something to consider in August of 2013.

9. SIG/CR proceedings, a note about the work this year
This topic was not discussed, and can be added to January meeting. It is anticipated that Grant, as SIG/CR workshop chair will coordinate w/Joe Tennis on the process.

ACTION ITEMS

- Everyone look for outreach examples. (Jane said she would send ALA/ALCTS outreach and KOT (kinds-of-tags) project that Emma mentioned
- Grant, take on leadership on workshop
- Jane/Emma/Joe, explore Dublin Core/SIG-CR connection for Dublin Core 2013, Lisbon
- Rebecca keep us posted on NASKO
- Kathryn pursue operational rules documentation with Dick Hill for January SIG/CR business meeting
- Rebecca/Jane, find all for participations, generate interest from SIG/CR members to collaborate with other SIGs and submit panel sessions, etc.
- Joe, explore South American contacts.
- Melissa, Emma consider Web-update for SIG/CR
- Meeting in January... (Doodle poll after first week of January, and aim for a meeting end-of-January).