Decolonizing Knowledge Organization Systems: Hawaiian Epistemology, Representation and Organization
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Through examining controlled and natural language representation of Hawai‘i, this research aims to contribute to an understanding of how Hawaiian epistemology may enrich Hawaiian cultural heritage representation in conventional knowledge organization systems.

Current systems are largely insufficient for describing and organizing indigenous knowledge and can also be distressing for indigenous researchers and communities. In a series of studies, Olson (e.g., 1998, 2001, 2007), has demonstrated that by favoring a mainstream view, controlled vocabularies often introduce “blatant biases or, more commonly, subtle, insidious marginalization” (Olson, 2002, p. 6). Olson’s (2002) analysis of the Dewey Decimal Classification and Library of Congress Subject Headings
representations of 11 books related to African American women, Chicanas, lesbians, Asian American women, working class women, Jewish women, and North American Aboriginal women demonstrates that subject representation of the analyzed books is excluded from the “mainstream and then pushed aside where it will not disturb library users looking for books on real topics” (p. 223, emphasis in the original). Doyle (2006) has shown that indigenous peoples in the United States have been marginalized in universal knowledge organization systems “through historicization, omission, lack of specificity, lack of relevance and lack of recognition of sovereign nations” (p. 437). Artifacts represent indigenous knowledge only when they are placed in context within their worlds of meaning. Moreover, documents or other resources are not treated as containing static and “frozen” or preserved knowledge, as in so many museums, archives, and libraries, but rather with the aim of transmitting dynamic knowledge for future generations (Grenersen, 2012). These ways of knowing, they emphasize, are not text-based but rather “oral, communal, aesthetic, kinesthetic, and emergent from living landscapes” (Duarte & Belarde-Lewis, 2015, p. 685). As a result, indigenous peoples have had to accept their effective marginalization by using inaccurate and imprecise organization of documents and subject headings or through collecting their own items in “smaller, flexible, sometimes ephemeral, private offline and online locations” (Duarte & Belarde-Lewis, 2015, p. 679).

Meyer (2008) contends that Hawaiian epistemology locates meaning at the triangulation of body, mind, and spirit. Meyer (2001) also identified the following seven pillars of Hawaiian epistemology: spirituality and knowing (i.e., the cultural context of knowledge), that which feeds (i.e., physical place and knowing), the cultural nature of the senses (i.e., expanding the idea of empiricism), relationship and knowledge (i.e., self through other), utility of knowledge (i.e., ideas of wealth and usefulness), words and knowledge (i.e., causality in language and thought), and the body-mind question (i.e., illusions of separation) (p. 126). According to Meyer, “meaningful research asks us to project beyond our objective/empirical knowing (body) into wider spaces of reflection offered through conscious subjectivity (mind) and, finally, via recognition and engagement with deeper realities (spirit)” (2008, p. 224). Based on this conceptualization of indigenous methodology as relational accountability or being accountable to all relations, indigenous knowledge representation should be answerable to such questions as: Do representations of the resources fulfill all potential relationships with the world?

Preliminary analysis of Dewey Decimal Classification (DDC) system demonstrated the lack of comprehensive representation of Hawaiian culture. For example, the search in WebDewey using the terms “Hawaii” to find what relevant class numbers are available in the DDC, returns options to classify Hawaiian Islands through relative indexes:
T2--969 - Hawaii and neighboring north central Pacific Ocean islands; T2--9691-T2--9694 - Hawaii; T2--9691- Hawaii County (Hawaii Island); T2--9692- Maui County; T2--96921 - Maui Island; T2--96922 - Kahoolawe Island; T2--96923 - Lanai Island; T2--96924 - Molokai Island; T2--9693 - Honolulu County (Oahu Island); T2--96931 - Honolulu; T2--9694 - Kauai County; T2--96941- Kauai Island; T2--96942- Niihau Island; T5--050901-T5--050909- Europeans and people of European descent of mixed ancestry with specific non-European ethnic and national groups; T5--9942- Hawaiians (Native people).

The DDC relative indexes also provide terms to build classes for representation of Hawaiians as an ethnic group:

T5--0 - Table 5. Ethnic and National Groups; T5--1-T5--9 - Specific ethnic and national groups; T5--9 - Other ethnic and national groups; T5--99 - Papuans; Aboriginal Australians and Tasmanians; Malayo-Polynesian and related peoples; miscellaneous peoples; T5--99/4 - Peoples who speak, or whose ancestors spoke, Polynesian languages; T5--99/42 - Hawaiians (Native people), . . .

A DDC note leads to the 068.969 class number for representing general organizations in Hawaii. And the note for representation of journalism and newspapers in Hawaii falls under the class number 079.969.

DDC also provides class number for representing political parties in Hawaii:

- 300 Social sciences
- 320 Political science
- 324 The political process
- 324.2 Political parties
- 324.24-324.29 Parties in specific countries and localities in modern world
- 324.2969 Parties in Hawaii
- 324.2969/01-324.2969/08 Parties in Hawaii

DDC also includes a note for Hawaiian cooking (641.59969 – Cooking – Hawaii).

- 600 Technology
- 640 Home & family management
- 641 Food and drink
- 641.5 Cooking
- 641.59 Cooking characteristic of specific geographic
environments, ethnic cooking

641.593-641.599 Cooking characteristic of specific continents, countries, localities

641.59969 Cooking--Hawaii

DDC notes also suggest the class numbers 347.969 to classify civil procedures and courts of Hawaii; 348.969 for laws, regulations, court cases of Hawaii; 647.95969 for restaurants in Hawaii; and 708.996 for galleries, museums, and private collections in Hawaii.

Even though DDC provides some flexibility to generate class numbers, representation of indigenous knowledge requires a deep understanding of indigenous worldview. For instance, previous analysis of DDC class numbers generated to represent Hawaiian Hula has demonstrated lack of understanding of Hawaiian Hula as creative art form, and misrepresenting it as recreational activity and folk dance (Hajibayova & Buente, 2017).

Matsuda (2015) proposes addressing the inadequacies of such conventional library and information science systems through the creation of a Hawaiian knowledge organization system. Key considerations include the immensity of Hawaiian knowledge, complexities of using the Hawaiian language within such a system, and the importance of collaboration in its creation and maintenance. Additionally, inclusion of the Hawaiian community at each stage of development is crucial to the integrity of any proposed system if the purpose is to improve representation, organization, and access to Hawaiian collections and communities.

As the foundation for a Hawaiian knowledge organization system continues to be established, this research into Hawaiian epistemology will better inform current practices related to classification and representation of Hawaiian knowledge in conventional library and information science systems.
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